	ed States Patent a	ND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22. www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,380	04/30/2001	Wayne L. Randell	CNR 5511	3131
7590 10/13/2005			EXAMINER	
Bobby B Gillenwater, Esquire BARNES & THORNBURG 600 One Summit Square			RUDY, ANDREW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Wayne, IN 46802			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		RANDELL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	09/845,380 Examiner				
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	Art Unit 3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply					
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u>	<u>5 July 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 22</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Batent and Tedematy Office	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Ł

Application/Control Number: 09/845,380 Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-7 and 22 in the reply filed on July 15, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not distinct as the Groups are related to the same general concept. This is not found persuasive because the general concepts contain particular limitations that require additional search areas that place an extra burden upon the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 8-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-7 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Virgin et al., US 6,826,542.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,380 Art Unit: 3627

Virgin discloses a network where an invoicing system, e.g. 105, 115, allowing users to access the system depending upon permission level. Virgin does not explicitly use the terms associating a first/second permission level to a first/second user. To have provided a either one of a first or second user on a basis of their associated permission level to process an invoice over a network for Virgin would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having provided such would have been using common knowledge access privileges with the access privileges disclosed by Virgin.

5. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

6. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) has been reviewed. Note the attached IDS.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-283-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,380 Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627